Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes
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The outstanding electrical1, mechanical2,3 and chemical4,5 properties of graphene make it attractive for applications in flexible electronics6–8. However, efforts to make transparent conducting films from graphene have been hampered by the lack of efficient methods for the synthesis, transfer and doping of graphene at the scale and quality required for applications. Here, we report the roll-to-roll production and wet-chemical doping of predominantly monolayer 30-inch graphene films grown by chemical vapour deposition onto flexible copper substrates. The films have sheet resistances as low as ≏125 Ω□⁻¹ with 97.4% optical transmittance, and exhibit the half-integer quantum Hall effect, indicating their high quality. We further use layer-by-layer stacking to fabricate a doped four-layer film and measure its sheet resistance at values as low as ≏30 Ω□⁻¹ at ≏90% transparency, which is superior to commercial transparent electrodes such as indium tin oxides. Graphene electrodes were incorporated into a fully functional touch-screen panel device capable of withstanding high strain.

Conventional transparent electrodes make use of indium tin oxide (ITO) and are commonly used in solar cells, touch sensors and flat panel displays. It has a sheet resistance of less than 100 Ω□⁻¹, optical transparency of ≏90%, and unlimited scalability. In contrast, the best reported sheet resistance of graphene grown on nickel substrates by chemical vapour deposition (CVD) is ≏280 Ω□⁻¹ (refs 6,9,10), on the centimetre scale. Also, the CVD methods based on thermally deposited nickel thin films inevitably require a rigid substrate that can withstand temperatures close to ≏1,000 °C and an etching process to remove the metal catalyst layers. These are therefore the main obstacles to the direct use of graphene on as-grown substrates5,9,10. Finding a way in which to transfer graphene films onto a foreign substrate is essential11,12.

Recently, Li and colleagues discovered and demonstrated a CVD method that used centimetre-scale copper substrates1,3, opening a new route to large-scale production of high-quality graphene films for practical applications. Graphene growth is therefore no longer limited to the use of rigid substrates; instead, large flexible copper foils may be used in the form of a roll-type substrate fitting inside a tubular furnace to maximize the scale and homogeneity of the produced graphene films. The flexibility of the graphene and copper foils further allows efficient etching and transfer processes that use a cost- and time-effective roll-to-roll production method.

There are three essential steps in the roll-to-roll transfer14–16 (Fig. 1a): (i) adhesion of polymer supports to the graphene on the copper foil; (ii) etching of the copper layers; and (iii) release of the graphene layers and transfer onto a target substrate. In the adhesion step, the graphene film, grown on a copper foil, is attached to a thin polymer film coated with an adhesive layer by passing between two rollers. In the subsequent step, the copper layers are removed by electrochemical reaction with aqueous 0.1 M ammonium persulphate solution (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ (ref. 17). Finally, the graphene films are transferred from the polymer support onto a target substrate by removing the adhesive force holding the graphene films. When using thermal release tapes11,12, the graphene films are detached from the tapes and released to counter-substrates by thermal treatment (Fig. 1). The third step is not necessary when the target substrate is directly attached to the copper foil in the first step by permanent adhesion.

Figure 2a–c presents photographs of the roll-based synthesis and transfer process. An 8-inch-wide tubular quartz reactor (Fig. 2a) is used in the CVD system, allowing a monolayer graphene film to be synthesized on a roll of copper foil with dimensions as large as 30 inches in the diagonal direction (Fig. 2c). A temperature gradient usually exists that depends on the radial position inside the tubular reactor. In our preliminary work, this sometimes resulted in inhomogeneous growth of the graphene on the copper foils. To solve this problem, a ≏7.5-inch quartz tube wrapped with a copper foil was inserted and suspended inside the 8-inch quartz tube. In this way, the radial inhomogeneity in the reaction temperature could be minimized (Supplementary Fig. S1). In the first step of synthesis, the roll of copper foil is inserted into a tubular quartz tube and then heated to 1,000 °C with flowing 8 s.c.c.m. H₂ at 90 mtorr. After reaching 1,000 °C, the sample is annealed for 30 min without changing the flow rate or pressure. The copper foils are heat-treated to increase the grain size from a few micrometres to ≏100 μm, as we have found that the copper foils with larger grain size yield higher-quality graphene films, as suggested by Li and colleagues13 (Supplementary Fig. S3). The gas mixture of CH₄ and H₂ is then flowed at 460 mtorr with rates of 24 and 8 s.c.c.m. for 30 min, respectively. Finally, the sample is rapidly cooled to room temperature (≏10 °C s⁻¹) with flowing H₂ under a pressure of 90 mtorr.

After growth, the graphene film grown on copper foil is attached to a thermal release tape (Jinsung Chemical Co. and Nitto Denko
Co.) by applying soft pressure (≏0.2 MPa) between two rollers. After etching the copper foil in a plastic bath filled with copper etchant, the transferred graphene film on the tape is rinsed with deionized water to remove residual etchant, and is then ready to be transferred to any kind of flat or curved surface on demand. The graphene film on the thermal release tape is inserted between the rollers together with a target substrate and exposed to mild heat (≏90–120 °C), achieving a transfer rate of ≏150–200 mm min⁻¹ and resulting in the transfer of the graphene films from the tape to the target substrate (Fig. 2b). By repeating these steps on the same substrate, multilayered graphene films can be prepared that exhibit enhanced electrical and optical properties, as demonstrated by Li and colleagues using wet-transfer methods at the centimetre scale¹⁹. Figure 2c shows the 30-inch multilayer graphene film transferred to a roll of 188-μm-thick polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate. Figure 2d shows a screen-printing process used to fabricate four-wire touch-screen panels¹⁸ based on graphene/PET transparent conducting films

Figure 1 | Schematic of the roll-based production of graphene films grown on a copper foil. The process includes adhesion of polymer supports, copper etching (rinsing) and dry transfer-printing on a target substrate. A wet-chemical doping can be carried out using a set-up similar to that used for etching.

Figure 2 | Photographs of the roll-based production of graphene films. a, Copper foil wrapping around a 7.5-inch quartz tube to be inserted into an 8-inch quartz reactor. The lower image shows the stage in which the copper foil reacts with CH₄ and H₂ gases at high temperatures. b, Roll-to-roll transfer of graphene films from a thermal release tape to a PET film at 120 °C. c, A transparent ultralarge-area graphene film transferred on a 35-inch PET sheet. d, Screen printing process of silver paste electrodes on graphene/PET film. The inset shows 3.1-inch graphene/PET panels patterned with silver electrodes before assembly. e, An assembled graphene/PET touch panel showing outstanding flexibility. f, A graphene-based touch-screen panel connected to a computer with control software. For a movie of its operation see Supplementary Information.
monolayer graphene films transferred on SiO2 (300 nm) wafer. The right inset is a typical optical microscope image of the monolayer graphene, showing ~0.83 eV blueshift both for G and 2D peaks.

D-band peaks are not observed before or after doping, indicating that HNO3 treatment is not destructive to the chemical bonds of graphene. D, XPS peaks of monolayer graphene films transferred on SiO2/Si substrates, showing typical redshift and broadening of carbon 1s peaks (C1s) caused by p-doping. The inset shows work-function changes (ΔΦ) with respect to doping time (lower x-axis) and number of stacked layers (upper x-axis), measure by UPS.
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Figure 3 | Optical characterizations of the graphene films prepared using layer-by-layer transfer on SiO2/silicon and PET substrates. a, Raman spectra of graphene films with different numbers of stacked layers. The left inset shows a photograph of transferred graphene layers on a 4-inch SiO2(300 nm) silicon wafer. The right inset is a typical optical microscope image of the monolayer graphene, showing ~0.83 eV blueshift both for G and 2D peaks. D-band peaks are not observed before or after doping, indicating that HNO3 treatment is not destructive to the chemical bonds of graphene. D, XPS peaks of monolayer graphene films transferred on SiO2/Si substrates, showing typical redshift and broadening of carbon 1s peaks (C1s) caused by p-doping. The inset shows work-function changes (ΔΦ) with respect to doping time (lower x-axis) and number of stacked layers (upper x-axis), measure by UPS.
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The Hall effect mobility of this device is clearly seen. The Hall effect mobility of this device is

\[
\frac{\mu_{\text{Hall}}}{\mu_{\text{Hall}}} \approx 7,350 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

at 6 K (red curve). The QHE effect at \( T = 6 \text{ K} \) and \( B = 9 \text{ T} \) is measured in the same device. The longitudinal resistivity \( \rho_{\|} \) and Hall conductivity \( \sigma_{xy} \) are plotted as a function of gate voltage. The sequence of the first three half-integer plateaus corresponding to \( v = 2, 6 \) and 10, typical for single-layer graphene, are clearly seen. The Hall effect mobility of this device is \( \mu_{\text{Hall}} \approx 7,350 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 6 K (~5,100 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at 295 K. Scale bar (inset), 3 \( \mu \text{m} \).

Electromechanical properties of graphene-based touch-screen devices compared with ITO/PET electrodes under tensile strain. The inset shows the resistance change with compressive and tensile strain applied to the upper and lower graphene/PET panels, respectively.

(Fig. 3d, inset). The multiple stacking also changes the work functions (Fig. 3d, inset; see also Supplementary Fig. S7 and Table S1), which could be very important in controlling the efficiency of photovoltaic26 or light-emitting devices based on graphene transparent electrodes27.

The electrical properties of graphene films formed using layer-by-layer stacking methods were also investigated. Usually, the sheet resistance of graphene film with 97.4% transmittance is as low as \( \approx 125 \Omega \square^{-1} \) (Fig. 4a) when it is transferred by a soluble polymer support such as polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)\(^9,10,19\). The transferrable size achievable using wet-transfer methods is limited to less than a few inches of wafer because of the weak mechanical strength of spin-coated PMMA layers. However, the scale of roll-to-roll dry transfer assisted by a thermal release tape is in principle unlimited. In the process of roll-to-roll dry transfer, the first layer sometimes shows two to three times larger sheet resistance than that of the PMMA-assisted wet-transfer method. As the number of layers increases, the resistance drops faster compared to the wet-transfer method (Fig. 4a). We postulate that the adhesion of the first layer with the substrate is not strong enough for complete separation of the graphene films from the thermal release tapes (Supplementary Fig. S4). As a result, there can be mechanical damage on the graphene films, leading to an increase in the overall sheet resistance. Because additional layers are not directly affected by the adhesion with the substrate surface, the sheet resistance of multilayers prepared by the roll-to-roll method does not differ much from that for the wet-transfer case. The p-doping with HNO\(_3\) clearly enhances the electrical properties of graphene films, and is more effective in roll-to-roll processes. The sheet resistance of the p-doped four-layer graphene film with ~90% optical transmittance is as low as \( \approx 30 \Omega \square^{-1} \), which is superior to common transparent electrodes such as ITO and carbon-nanotube films (Fig. 4b)\(^28\).

Standard electron-beam lithography has been used to fabricate graphene Hall bars on conventional 300-nm SiO\(_2\)/Si substrates (Fig. 4c). The left inset of Fig. 4c shows the four-terminal resistance of such samples as a function of backgate voltage (\( V_{\text{bg}} \)) at both room temperature (in black) and at a low temperature (\( T = 6 \text{ K} \)) and zero magnetic field. We observe the graphene specific gate bias dependence of the resistance with a sharp Dirac peak and an effective Hall mobility of 7,350 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) at low temperatures. This allows the observation of the quantum Hall effect (QHE)\(^{19}\) at 6 K and a magnetic field of \( B = 9 \text{ T} \) (Fig. 4c, right). The fingerprint of single-layer graphene, the half-integer quantum Hall effect, is observed with plateaus at filling factors of \( v = 2, 6 \) and 10 at \( R_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \), \( \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \frac{1}{10} (h/e^2) \), respectively. Although the sequence of

**Figure 4** | Electrical characterizations of layer-by-layer transferred and HNO\(_3\)-doped graphene films. a. Sheet resistances of transferred graphene films using a roll-to-roll (R2R) dry-transfer method combined with thermal release tapes and a PMMA-assisted wet-transfer method. b. Comparison of sheet resistance from this research and transmittance plots taken from other references. The dashed arrows indicate the expected sheet resistances at lower transmittance. The scheme is borrowed from ref. 19. c. Electrical properties of a monolayer graphene Hall bar device in vacuum. Four-probe resistivity (left bottom inset) is measured as a function of gate voltage in the monolayer graphene Hall bar shown in the right inset at room temperature (black curve) and \( T = 6 \text{ K} \) (red curve). The QHE effect at \( T = 6 \text{ K} \) and \( B = 9 \text{ T} \) is measured in the same device. The longitudinal resistivity \( \rho_{\|} \) and Hall conductivity \( \sigma_{xy} \) are plotted as a function of gate voltage. The sequence of the first three half-integer plateaus corresponding to \( v = 2, 6 \) and 10, typical for single-layer graphene, are clearly seen. The Hall effect mobility of this device is \( \mu_{\text{Hall}} \approx 7,350 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 6 K (~5,100 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at 295 K. Scale bar (inset), 3 \( \mu \text{m} \). d. Electromechanical properties of graphene-based touch-screen devices compared with ITO/PET electrodes under tensile strain. The inset shows the resistance change with compressive and tensile strain applied to the upper and lower graphene/PET panels, respectively.
the plateaus remains for both the electron side and the hole side, there is a slight deviation from the fully quantized values on the hole side.

Finally, the electromechanical properties of graphene/PET touch-screen panels were tested (Fig. 4d; Supplementary Fig. S2). Unlike an ITO-based touch panel, which easily breaks under ~2–3% strain, the graphene-based panel resists up to 6% strain; this is limited not by the graphene itself, but by the printed silver electrodes (Fig. 4d).30

In summary, we have developed and demonstrated the roll-to-roll production of graphene on ultralarge copper substrates. The multiple transfer and simple chemical doping of graphene films considerably enhances its electrical and optical properties. Given the scalability and processability of roll-to-roll and CVD methods and the flexibility and conductivity of graphene films, we anticipate that the commercial production of large-scale transparent electrodes, replacing ITO, will be realized in the near future.
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